

Countercyclicality of financial crisis interventions in an open economy with credit constraint

Borradores de Economía

Número:

989

Publicado:

Miércoles, 1 Marzo 2017

Clasificación JEL:

F34, F41, G01, H23, D62

Palabras clave:

Restricción de crédito, Crisis financiera, Controles de capital

[Descargar documento](#)

Lo más reciente

[Evaluación de los efectos de las recientes normas de provisiones sobre la asignación del crédito de consumo en Colombia](#)

Diego Fernando Cuesta-Mora, Fredy Alejandro Gamboa-Estrada, Camilo Eduardo Sánchez-Quinto

[Educación e inclusión financieras en América Latina y el Caribe: programas de los bancos centrales y las superintendencias financieras](#)

María José Roa-García, Gloria Amparo Alonso Masmela, Nidia García Bohórquez, Diego A. Rodríguez-Pinilla

[Deuda Pública, Expectativas sobre el Déficit Fiscal y su Transmisión al Componente Cíclico de las Tasas de Interés de Largo Plazo](#)

José Vicente Romero-Chamorro, Hernando Vargas-Herrera

[Otras Publicaciones](#)

In an open-economy model with collateral constraint, Schmitt-Grohé and Uribe (2016) propose a procyclical policy (it calls for capital controls that are higher during crises than during normal times) that supposedly solves the externality problem that results from the underestimation of the social costs of decentralized debt decisions. We show that such policy does not solve the problem and recall that previous literature has established that a countercyclical tax on debt (positive during normal times and nil during crisis) does. We also show that the externality problem can be solved as well by a countercyclical subsidy on consumption (positive during crises and zero in normal times). The latter result, however, is not a robust policy recommendation because it is not a solution if we remove the assumption that lenders overlook the effect of lump-sum taxes on borrowing capacity.