

# The limited impact of free college policies

EUROPEAN ECONOMIC REVIEW

**DOI:**

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroecorev.2024.104800>

**Publicado:**

Miércoles, 4 Diciembre 2024

**Authors:**

Ferreira María Marta<sup>e</sup>,

Garriga Carlos<sup>e</sup>,

Juan David Martín<sup>a</sup>,

Sánchez Días Angélica María<sup>e</sup>

Ver más

<sup>e</sup>Externo

<sup>a</sup>Banco de la República, Colombia

[Descargar documento](#)

## Lo más reciente

[Comercio exterior de servicios en Colombia 1994-2024: Un análisis descriptivo](#)

Sandra Isabel Salamanca-Gil, Enrique Montes-Uribe, Juan Sebastián Silva-Rodríguez

[Impacto de los cierres en la vía al llano sobre los precios de los alimentos en Colombia](#)

Jhorland Ayala-García, Yesica Tatiana Lara-Silva, Alejandro Alberto Vargas-Villamil, Lina Romero-Chaparro

[Impacto macroeconómico y fiscal del cambio demográfico](#)

Jesús Alonso Botero-García, Ligia Alba Melo-Becerra, Cristian Castrillón Gaviria, Daniela Gallo

[Otras Publicaciones](#)

Despite the growing popularity of free college proposals, countries with higher subsidies often exhibit higher enrollment rates, but not necessarily higher graduation rates. This paper investigates the mediating role of student effort in the impact of tuition-free policies. We estimate a dynamic model of college enrollment, academic progression, and graduation using comprehensive student-level data from Colombia. In the model, student effort directly influences class completion and moderates the risk of poor performance or dropout. Simulating two policy scenarios — universal free college and performance-based free college — we find that universal free college triggers the largest enrollment increase but minimal change in graduation rates, aligning with observed cross-country patterns. Meanwhile, performance-based free college induces a more moderate enrollment expansion while simultaneously yielding a higher graduation rate. This divergence stems from the differing mechanisms of these policies: universal free college primarily addresses financial constraints, whereas performance-based incentives promote enhanced student effort.